

УДК 512.53

**ON THE SEMIGROUP OF ALL MONOID ENDOMORPHISMS OF  
THE SEMIGROUP  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  WITH THE TWO-ELEMENTS FAMILY  $\mathcal{F}$   
OF INDUCTIVE NONEMPTY SUBSETS OF  $\omega$**

**Oleg GUTIK, Inna POZDNIAKOVA**

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,  
Universytetska Str., 1, 79000, Lviv, UKRAINE  
e-mails: [oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua](mailto:oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua), [pozdnjakova.inna@gmail.com](mailto:pozdnjakova.inna@gmail.com)*

We study the structure of the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  of all monoid endomorphisms of  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ , where an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of two nonempty inductive subsets of  $\omega$ . We describe elements of  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ , the multiplication and Green's relations on the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ .

*Key words:* Bicyclic monoid, inverse semigroup, bicyclic extension, endomorphism, ideal, Green's relations.

**1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND MAIN DEFINITIONS**

We shall follow the terminology of [1, 2, 11]. By  $\omega$  we denote the set of all non-negative integers, by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of all positive integers.

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  be the family of all subsets of  $\omega$ . For any  $F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we put  $n + F = \{n + k : k \in F\}$  if  $F \neq \emptyset$  and  $n + \emptyset = \emptyset$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is called  $\omega$ -closed if  $F_1 \cap (-n + F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n \in \omega$  and  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any  $a \in \omega$  we denote  $[a] = \{x \in \omega : x \geq a\}$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $\omega$  is said to be *inductive*, if  $i \in A$  implies  $i + 1 \in A$ . Obviously, that  $\emptyset$  is an inductive subset of  $\omega$ .

*Зауваження 1* ([5]). (1) By Lemma 6 from [4] nonempty subset  $F \subseteq \omega$  is inductive in  $\omega$  if and only if  $(-1 + F) \cap F = F$ .

- (2) Since the set  $\omega$  with the usual order is well-ordered, for any nonempty inductive subset  $F$  in  $\omega$  there exists nonnegative integer  $n_F \in \omega$  such that  $[n_F] = F$ .
- (3) Statement (2) implies that the intersection of an arbitrary finite family of nonempty inductive subsets in  $\omega$  is a nonempty inductive subset of  $\omega$ .

Let  $S$  be an arbitrary semigroup. By  $S^1$  we denote  $S$  with the enjoined unit element 1. Any homomorphism  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$  is called an *endomorphism* of  $S$  [1]. If the semigroup has the identity element  $1_S$  then the endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $S$  such that  $(1_S)\alpha = 1_S$  is said to be a *monoid endomorphism* of  $S$ . A bijective endomorphism of  $S$  is called an *automorphism*. Traditionally in the semigroup theory the image of an element  $s$  of a semigroup  $S$  under the endomorphism  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$  is denoted by  $(s)\alpha$ .

A semigroup  $S$  is called *inverse* if for any element  $x \in S$  there exists a unique  $x^{-1} \in S$  such that  $xx^{-1}x = x$  and  $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$ . The element  $x^{-1}$  is called the *inverse of  $x \in S$* . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then the function  $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$  which assigns to every element  $x$  of  $S$  its inverse element  $x^{-1}$  is called the *inversion*.

If  $S$  is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in  $S$  by  $E(S)$ . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then  $E(S)$  is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to  $E(S)$  as a *band* (or the *band of  $S$* ). Then the semigroup operation on  $S$  determines the following partial order  $\preceq$  on  $E(S)$ :  $e \preceq f$  if and only if  $ef = fe = e$ . This order is called the *natural partial order* on  $E(S)$ .

If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on  $S$  determines the following partial order  $\preceq$  on  $S$ :  $s \preceq t$  if and only if there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that  $s = te$ . This order is called the *natural partial order* on  $S$  [15].

If  $S$  is a semigroup, then we shall denote the Green relations on  $S$  by  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [1, Section 2.1]):

$$\begin{aligned} a\mathcal{R}b &\text{ if and only if } aS^1 = bS^1; \\ a\mathcal{L}b &\text{ if and only if } S^1a = S^1b; \\ a\mathcal{J}b &\text{ if and only if } S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1; \\ \mathcal{D} &= \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}; \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\mathcal{L}$ -class [ $\mathcal{R}$ -class,  $\mathcal{H}$ -class,  $\mathcal{D}$ -class,  $\mathcal{J}$ -class] of the semigroup  $S$  containing the element  $a \in S$  will be denoted by  $L_a$  [ $R_a$ ,  $H_a$ ,  $D_a$ ,  $J_a$ ].

The bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements  $p$  and  $q$  subjected only to the condition  $pq = 1$ . The semigroup operation on  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial  $E$ -unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a group congruence [1].

On the set  $B_\omega = \omega \times \omega$  we define the semigroup operation “ $\cdot$ ” in the following way

$$(i_1, j_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  to the semigroup  $B_\omega$  is isomorphic by the mapping  $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow B_\omega$ ,  $q^k p^l \mapsto (k, l)$  (see: [1, Section 1.12] or [13, Exercise IV.1.11(ii)]).

Next we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [4].

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\omega$ -closed subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ . On the set  $\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}$  we define the semigroup operation “ $\cdot$ ” in the following way

$$(i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In [4] is proved that  $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$  is a semigroup. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  contains the empty set  $\emptyset$  then the set  $\mathbf{I} = \{(i, j, \emptyset) : i, j \in \omega\}$  is an ideal of the semigroup  $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ . For any  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  the following semigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) / \mathbf{I}, & \text{if } \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; \\ (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \text{if } \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

is defined in [4]. The semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. It is proven in [4] that  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green’s relations, the natural partial order on  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its set of idempotents are described. In [4] the criteria when the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is simple, 0-simple, bisimple, 0-bisimple, or it has the identity, are given. In particularly in [4] it is proved that the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of a singleton set and the empty set, and  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of a non-empty inductive subset of  $\omega$ .

Group congruences on the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its homomorphic retracts in the case when an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of inductive non-empty subsets of  $\omega$  are studied in [5]. It is proven that a congruence  $\mathfrak{C}$  on  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a group congruence if and only if its restriction on a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ , which is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, is not the identity relation. Also in [5], all non-trivial homomorphic retracts and isomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  are described. In [6] it is proved that an injective endomorphism  $\varepsilon$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is the identity transformation if and only if  $\varepsilon$  has three distinct fixed points, which is equivalent to existence non-idempotent element  $(i, j, [p]) \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $(i, j, [p])\varepsilon = (i, j, [p])$ .

In [3, 12] the algebraic structure of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is established in the case when  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of atomic subsets of  $\omega$ .

It is well-known that every automorphism of the bicyclic monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega$  is the identity self-map of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega$  [1], and hence the group  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$  of automorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega$  is trivial. In [10] it is proved that the semigroups  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$  of the endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega$  is isomorphic to the semidirect products  $(\omega, +) \rtimes_{\varphi} (\omega, *)$ , where  $+$  and  $*$  are the usual addition and the usual multiplication on the set of non-negative integers  $\omega$ .

In the paper [7] the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$  is studied, where the family  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is generated by initial interval  $[0, n]$  of  $\omega$ . In the paper [14] the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}}))$  of all endomorphisms of the monoid  $\mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}})$  is described up to its ideal  $\mathbf{End}^1(\mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}}))$ , where  $\mathbf{End}^1(\mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}}))$  is the subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}}))$  which consists of  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}}))$  such that the image  $(\alpha)\mathbf{a}$  is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_\omega^n(\overrightarrow{\text{con}})$ .

In the paper [8] we study injective endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  with the two-elements family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . We describe elements of the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Also

in [8] we prove that Green's relations  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  coincide with the relation of equality.

In the paper [9] we study non-injective endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ . We describe the structure of elements of the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  of monoid non-injective endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ . In particular we show that its subsemigroup  $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  of monoid non-injective non-annihilating endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to the direct product the two-element left-zero semigroup and the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers and describe Green's relations on  $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

This paper is a continuation of [8] and [9]. We study the structure of the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  of all monoid endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ . We describe elements of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ , the multiplication and Green's relations on the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

Later we assume that an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of two nonempty inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE MONOID $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$

Fix an arbitrary positive integer  $k$  and any  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ . For all  $i, j \in \omega$  we define the transformation  $\alpha_{k,p}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\alpha_{k,p} &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\alpha_{k,p} &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1]). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $\alpha_{k,p}$  is an injective transformation of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  (see [8, Example 1 and Lemma 2]).

Fix an arbitrary positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and any  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ . For all  $i, j \in \omega$  we define the transformation  $\beta_{k,p}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\beta_{k,p} &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\beta_{k,p} &= (p + ki, p + kj, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $\beta_{k,p}$  is an injective transformation of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  (see [8, Example 2 and Lemma 3]).

The following theorem from [8] describes the structure of the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  for the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ .

**Theorem 1** ([8, Theorem 1]). *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$  and  $\varepsilon$  be an injective monoid endomorphism of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ . Then either there exist a positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  such that  $\varepsilon = \alpha_{k,p}$  or there exist a positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  such that  $\varepsilon = \beta_{k,p}$ . Moreover, the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $(i, j, [0])\alpha_{k,p} = (i, j, [0])\beta_{k,p}$  for all  $i, j \in \omega$  and any positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ ;
- (2) if  $k = 1$  then  $\varepsilon = \alpha_{1,0}$  is an automorphism of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  which is the identity selfmap of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ ;
- (3)  $\alpha_{k_1,p_1}\alpha_{k_2,p_2} = \alpha_{k_1k_2,p_2+k_2p_1}$  for all positive integers  $k_1, k_2$ , any  $p_1 \in \{0, \dots, k_1-1\}$ , and any  $p_2 \in \{0, \dots, k_2-1\}$ ;

- (4)  $\alpha_{k_1, p_1} \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1 k_2, p_2 + k_2 p_1}$  for all positive integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2 \geq 2$ , any  $p_1 \in \{0, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ , and any  $p_2 \in \{1, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ ;
- (5)  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1 k_2, k_2 p_1}$  for all positive integers  $k_1, k_2 \geq 2$ , any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ , and any  $p_2 \in \{1, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ ;
- (6)  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \alpha_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1 k_2, k_2 p_1}$  for all positive integers  $k_1 \geq 2$  and  $k_2$ , any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ , and any  $p_2 \in \{0, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ ;
- (7) if  $\alpha_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$ , and  $\beta_{k_2, p_2}$  are well defined elements of  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  then  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \alpha_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \beta_{k_2, p_2}$ ;
- (8)  $\alpha_{1, 0}$  is the unique idempotent of  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ , and moreover  $\alpha_{1, 0}$  is the identity of  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ ;
- (9)  $S_\alpha = \langle \alpha_{k, p} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\} \rangle$  is a cancellative submonoid of  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ ;
- (10)  $S_\beta = \langle \beta_{k, p} \mid k = 2, 3, \dots, p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\} \rangle$  is an ideal in  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary non-negative integer. We define maps  $\gamma_k: \mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  and  $\delta_k: \mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  by the formulae

$$(i, j, [0])\gamma_k = (i, j, [1])\gamma_k = (ki, kj, [0])$$

and

$$(i, j, [0])\delta_k = (ki, kj, [0]) \quad \text{and} \quad (i, j, [1])\delta_k = (k(i+1), k(j+1), [0])$$

for all  $i, j \in \omega$ , respectively. Then for any  $k \in \omega$  the maps  $\gamma_k$  and  $\delta_k$  are non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  [9, Examples 1 and 2, Proposition 1].

*Remark 1.* It obvious that if  $\mathbf{e}$  is the annihilating endomorphism of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  then  $\mathbf{e} = \gamma_0 = \delta_0$ .

By  $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  we denote the semigroup of all non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  for the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ .

Theorems 2 and 3 describe the algebraic structure of the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

**Theorem 2** ([9, Theorem 1]). *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ . Then for any non-injective monoid endomorphism  $\mathbf{e}$  of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  only one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $\mathbf{e}$  is the annihilating endomorphism, i.e.,  $\mathbf{e} = \gamma_0 = \delta_0$ ;
- (2)  $\mathbf{e} = \gamma_k$  for some positive integer  $k$ ;
- (3)  $\mathbf{e} = \delta_k$  for some positive integer  $k$ .

**Theorem 3** ([9, Theorem 2]). *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ . Then for all positive integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $\gamma_{k_1} \gamma_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1 k_2}$ ;
- (2)  $\gamma_{k_1} \delta_{k_2} = \gamma_{k_1 k_2}$ ;
- (3)  $\delta_{k_1} \gamma_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1 k_2}$ ;
- (4)  $\delta_{k_1} \delta_{k_2} = \delta_{k_1 k_2}$ .

By  $\mathbf{e}_0$  we denote the annihilating monoid endomorphism of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  for the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ , i.e.,  $(i, j, [p])\mathbf{e}_0 = (0, 0, [0])$  for all  $i, j \in \omega$  and  $p = 0, 1$ . We put  $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}) \setminus \{\mathbf{e}_0\}$ . Theorem 3 implies that  $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  is a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

3. ON THE SEMIGROUP OF ALL MONOID ENDOMORPHISMS OF  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ 

Later by  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we denote the semigroup of all monoid endomorphisms of the monoid  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Theorems 1, 3 and 4 describe the semigroup operation on the monoid  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If  $k$  and  $n$  are arbitrary positive integers and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  then*

$$\alpha_{k,p}\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \gamma_{kn}, & \text{if } kn = 1 \text{ or } p = 0; \\ \beta_{kn,pn}, & \text{if } kn \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 1, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If  $k$  and  $n$  are arbitrary positive integers and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  then*

$$\alpha_{k,p}\delta_n = \begin{cases} \delta_{kn}, & \text{if } kn = 1 \text{ or } p = k-1; \\ \beta_{kn,(p+1)n}, & \text{if } kn \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 0, \dots, k-2. \end{cases}$$

(3) *If  $k$  is an arbitrary positive integer  $\geq 2$ ,  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer, and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  then  $\beta_{k,p}\gamma_n = \beta_{kn,pn}$*

(4) *If  $k$  is an arbitrary positive integer  $\geq 2$ ,  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer, and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  then*

$$\beta_{k,p}\delta_n = \begin{cases} \delta_{kn}, & \text{if } p = k-1; \\ \beta_{kn,(p+1)n}, & \text{if } p = 1, \dots, k-2. \end{cases}$$

(5) *If  $k$  and  $n$  are arbitrary positive integers and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  then  $\gamma_n\alpha_{k,p} = \gamma_{nk}$ .*

(6) *If  $k$  is an arbitrary positive integer  $\geq 2$ ,  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer, and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  then  $\gamma_n\beta_{k,p} = \gamma_{nk}$ .*

(7) *If  $k$  and  $n$  are arbitrary positive integers and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  then  $\delta_n\alpha_{k,p} = \delta_{nk}$ .*

(8) *If  $k$  is an arbitrary positive integer  $\geq 2$ ,  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer, and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  then  $\delta_n\beta_{k,p} = \delta_{nk}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\alpha_{k,p}\gamma_n = (ki, kj, [0])\gamma_n = (kni, knj, [0])$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [1])\alpha_{k,p}\gamma_n &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1])\gamma_n = \\ &= (n(p + ki), n(p + kj), [0]) = \\ &= (pn + kni, pn + knj, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that

$$\alpha_{k,p}\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \gamma_{kn}, & \text{if } kn = 1 \text{ or } p = 0; \\ \beta_{kn,pn}, & \text{if } kn \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 1, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$

(2) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\alpha_{k,p}\delta_n = (ki, kj, [0])\delta_n = (kni, knj, [0])$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [1])\alpha_{k,p}\delta_n &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1])\delta_n = \\ &= (n(p + ki + 1), n(p + kj + 1), [0]) = \\ &= ((p + 1)n + kni, (p + 1)n + knj, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that

$$\alpha_{k,p}\delta_n = \begin{cases} \delta_{kn}, & \text{if } kn = 1 \text{ or } p = k - 1; \\ \beta_{kn,(p+1)n}, & \text{if } kn \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 0, \dots, k - 2. \end{cases}$$

(3) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\beta_{k,p}\gamma_n = (ki, kj, [0])\gamma_n = (kni, knj, [0])$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [1])\beta_{k,p}\gamma_n &= (p + ki, p + kj, [0])\gamma_n = \\ &= (n(p + ki), n(p + kj), [0]) = \\ &= (pn + kni, pn + knj, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get that  $\beta_{k,p}\gamma_n = \beta_{kn,pn}$ .

(4) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\beta_{k,p}\delta_n = (ki, kj, [0])\delta_n = (kni, knj, [0])$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [1])\beta_{k,p}\gamma_n &= (p + ki, p + kj, [0])\delta_n = \\ &= (n(p + ki + 1), n(p + kj + 1), [0]) = \\ &= ((p + 1)n + kni, (p + 1)n + knj, [0]). \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that

$$\beta_{k,p}\delta_n = \begin{cases} \delta_{kn}, & \text{if } kn = 1 \text{ or } p = k - 1; \\ \beta_{kn,(p+1)n}, & \text{if } kn \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 0, \dots, k - 2. \end{cases}$$

(5) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\gamma_n\alpha_{k,p} = (ni, nj, [0])\alpha_{k,p} = (nki, nkj, [0])$$

and

$$(i, j, [1])\gamma_n\alpha_{k,p} = (ni, nj, [0])\alpha_{k,p} = (nki, nkj, [0]).$$

This implies that  $\gamma_n\alpha_{k,p} = \gamma_{nk}$ .

(6) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\gamma_n\beta_{k,p} = (ni, nj, [0])\beta_{k,p} = (nki, nkj, [0])$$

and

$$(i, j, [1])\gamma_n\beta_{k,p} = (ni, nj, [0])\beta_{k,p} = (nki, nkj, [0]).$$

This implies that  $\gamma_n\beta_{k,p} = \gamma_{nk}$ .

(7) For any  $i, j \in \omega$  we have that

$$(i, j, [0])\delta_n\alpha_{k,p} = (ni, nj, [0])\alpha_{k,p} = (nki, nkj, [0])$$

and

$$(i, j, [1])\delta_n\alpha_{k,p} = (n(i+1), n(j+1), [0])\alpha_{k,p} = (nk(i+1), nk(j+1), [0]).$$

The above equalities imply that  $\delta_n\alpha_{k,p} = \delta_{nk}$ .

The proof of statement (8) is similar to (7).  $\square$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\alpha\rangle &= \{\alpha_{k,p}: k \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}\}; \\ \langle\beta\rangle &= \{\beta_{k,p}: k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}\}; \\ \langle\gamma\rangle &= \{\gamma_k: k \in \mathbb{N}\}; \\ \langle\delta\rangle &= \{\delta_k: k \in \mathbb{N}\}; \\ \langle\alpha, \beta\rangle &= \{xy: x, y \in \langle\alpha\rangle \cup \langle\beta\rangle\}; \\ \langle\gamma, \delta\rangle &= \{xy: x, y \in \langle\gamma\rangle \cup \langle\delta\rangle\};\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\langle\alpha\rangle$ ,  $\langle\beta\rangle$ ,  $\langle\gamma\rangle$ , and  $\langle\delta\rangle$  are subsemigroups of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ , and moreover  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  is a cancellative submonoid of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ , and the subsemigroups  $\langle\gamma\rangle$  and  $\langle\delta\rangle$  are isomorphic to the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}_u$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{C}\langle\alpha\rangle = \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}) \setminus \langle\alpha\rangle$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .
- (3)  $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle = \mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  is a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ , and  $\langle\beta\rangle$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .
- (4) The annihilating monoid endomorphism  $\epsilon_0$  of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$  is the zero of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .
- (5)  $\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle = \langle\gamma\rangle \cup \langle\delta\rangle = \mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  is a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .
- (6)  $\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle \cup \{\epsilon_0\}$ ,  $\langle\gamma\rangle \cup \{\epsilon_0\}$ , and  $\langle\delta\rangle \cup \{\epsilon_0\}$  are right ideals of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ , but they are not two-sided ideals of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* Statement (1) follows from Theorems 1 and 3.

Statement (2) follows from Theorem 1 and 4.

Statement (3) follows from Theorem 1.

Statement (4) is obvious.

Statements (5) and (6) follow from Theorems 3 and 4.  $\square$

Proposition 2 and Theorem 5 describe Green's relations on the monoid  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\chi \mathcal{J} \alpha_{k_1, p_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ ,  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_1 \in \{0, \dots, k_1-1\}$ , if and only if  $\chi = \alpha_{k_1, p_1}$ .
- (2) The relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1-1\}$ .
- (3) The relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1-1\}$ .
- (4)  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{L} \beta_{k_2, p_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1-1\}$ ,  $p_2 \in \{1, \dots, k_2-1\}$ , if and only if  $k_1 = k_2$  and  $p_1 = p_2$ , i.e.,  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2}$ .



- (5)  $\gamma_{n_1} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if  $n_1 = n_2$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2}$ .
- (6)  $\gamma_{n_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if  $n_1 = n_2$ .
- (7)  $\delta_{n_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if  $n_1 = n_2$ , i.e.,  $\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{n_2}$ .
- (8) The relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ .
- (9) The relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{R} \delta_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ .
- (10)  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{R} \beta_{k_2, p_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ ,  $p_2 \in \{1, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ , if and only if  $k_1 = k_2$  and  $p_1 = p_2$ , i.e.,  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2}$ .
- (11)  $\gamma_{n_1} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if  $n_1 = n_2$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2}$ .
- (12) The relation  $\gamma_n \mathcal{R} \delta_m$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ .
- (13)  $\delta_{n_1} \mathcal{R} \delta_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if  $n_1 = n_2$ , i.e.,  $\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{n_2}$ .
- (14) The relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{J} \gamma_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ .
- (15) The relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{J} \delta_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  does not hold for any positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and any  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $(\Rightarrow)$  By Proposition 1(2) the set  $\mathbf{C}\langle\alpha\rangle$  is an ideal of the monoid  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ , and hence  $\chi \mathcal{J} \alpha_{k, p}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  if and only if  $\chi \in \langle\alpha\rangle$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{J} \alpha_{k_2, p_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , and  $p_1 \in \{0, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ ,  $p_2 \in \{0, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ . The above arguments imply that there exist  $k'_1, k''_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p'_1 \in \{0, \dots, k'_1 - 1\}$  and  $p''_1 \in \{0, \dots, k''_1 - 1\}$  such that

$$\alpha_{k_1, p_1} = \alpha_{k'_1, p'_1} \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \alpha_{k''_1, p''_1}.$$

By Theorem 1 we have that

$$\alpha_{k_1, p_1} = \alpha_{k'_1, p'_1} \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \alpha_{k''_1, p''_1} = \alpha_{k'_1, p'_1} \alpha_{k_2 k''_1, p'_1 + k''_1 p_2} = \alpha_{k'_1 k_2 k''_1, p'_1 + k''_1 p_2 + k_2 k''_1 p'_1}.$$

This implies that  $k_1 = k'_1 k_2 k''_1$  and  $p_1 = p'_1 + k''_1 p_2 + k_2 k''_1 p'_1$ . Similar calculations imply that if

$$\alpha_{k_2, p_2} = \alpha_{k'_2, p'_2} \alpha_{k_1, p_1} \alpha_{k''_2, p''_2}$$

for some  $k'_2, k''_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p'_2 \in \{0, \dots, k'_2 - 1\}$  and  $p''_2 \in \{0, \dots, k''_2 - 1\}$ , then  $k_2 = k'_2 k_1 k''_2$  and  $p_2 = p'_2 + k''_2 p_1 + k_1 k''_2 p'_2$ . Hence we have that  $k_1 | k_2$  and  $k_2 | k_1$ . Thus we get that  $k_1 = k_2$  and hence  $k'_1 = k'_2 = k''_1 = k''_2 = 1$ . The last equalities imply that  $p'_1 = p'_2 = p''_1 = p''_2 = 0$ . Since  $\alpha_{1, 0}$  is the unit element of the monoid  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $\alpha_{k_1, p_1} = \alpha_{k_2, p_2}$ .

The implications  $(\Leftarrow)$  is trivial.

(2) Suppose the contrary: the relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{n_1}$  holds for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \varepsilon_1 \gamma_{n_1}$  and  $\gamma_{n_1} = \varepsilon_2 \beta_{k_1, p_1}$ .

Theorem 4 implies that the equality  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \varepsilon_1 \gamma_{n_1}$  holds only in one of the following two cases:

- (1<sub>1</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>1</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ .

Theorems 1 and 4 imply that the equality  $\gamma_{n_1} = \varepsilon_2 \beta_{k_1, p_1}$  holds in the case when  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_m$  for some positive integer  $m$ . By Theorem 4(6) we have that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_m \beta_{k_1, p_1} = \gamma_m k_1.$$

Then in case (1<sub>1</sub>) by Theorem 4(1) we get that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \alpha_{k, p} \gamma_{n_1} = \alpha_{k, p} \gamma_m k_1 = \beta_{kmk_1, pmk_1},$$

because  $k_1 \geq 2$ . The definition of the endomorphism  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$  implies that  $k_1 = kmk_1$  and  $p_1 = pmk_1$ . By the first equality we get that  $km = 1$  and hence  $k = m = 1$ . Then the definition of the endomorphism  $\alpha_{k, p}$  implies that  $p = 0$  and hence  $p_1 = pmk_1 = 0$ . But the equality  $p_1 = 0$  contradicts the definition of the endomorphism  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$ , because  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ . The obtained contradiction implies that case (1<sub>1</sub>) does not hold.

In case (2<sub>1</sub>) by Theorem 4(3) we get that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k, p} \gamma_{n_1} = \beta_{k, p} \gamma_m k_1 = \beta_{kmk_1, pmk_1}.$$

The definition of the endomorphism  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$  implies that  $k_1 = kmk_1$  and  $p_1 = pmk_1$ . Next, by the similar way as in the previous case we show that case (2<sub>1</sub>) does not hold. This completes the proof of the statement.

(3) Suppose to the contrary that the relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{n_1}$  holds for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \varepsilon_1 \delta_{n_1}$  and  $\delta_{n_1} = \varepsilon_2 \beta_{k_1, p_1}$ .

Theorem 4 implies that the equality  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \varepsilon_1 \delta_{n_1}$  holds only in one of the following two cases:

- (1<sub>2</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>2</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ .

Theorem 4 implies that the equality  $\delta_{n_1} = \varepsilon_2 \beta_{k_1, p_1}$  holds in the case when  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_m$  for some positive integer  $m$ . By Theorem 4(8) we have that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \delta_m \beta_{k_1, p_1} = \delta_m k_1.$$

Then in case (1<sub>2</sub>) by Theorem 4(2) we have that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \alpha_{k, p} \delta_{n_1} = \alpha_{k, p} \delta_m k_1 = \beta_{kmk_1, (p+1)mk_1},$$

because  $k_1 \geq 2$ . The definition of the endomorphism  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$  implies that  $k_1 = kmk_1$  and  $p_1 = (p+1)mk_1$ . By the first equality we get that  $km = 1$  and hence  $k = m = 1$ . Then the definition of the endomorphism  $\alpha_{k, p}$  implies that  $p = 0$  which implies that  $p_1 = mk_1 = k_1$ . But by the definition of the endomorphism  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$  we have that  $k_1 > p_1$ , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that case (1<sub>2</sub>) does not hold.

In case (2<sub>2</sub>) by Theorem 4(4) we get that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k, p} \delta_{n_1} = \beta_{k, p} \delta_m k_1 = \beta_{kmk_1, (p+1)mk_1}.$$

The definition of the endomorphism  $\beta_{k_1, p_1}$  implies that  $k_1 = kmk_1$  and  $p_1 = (p+1)mk_1$ . Next, by the similar way as in the previous case we show that case (2<sub>2</sub>) does not hold. This completes the proof of the statement.

(4) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\beta_{k_1,p_1} \mathcal{L} \beta_{k_2,p_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $k_1 \geq 2$ ,  $k_2 \geq 2$ ,  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ , and  $p_2 \in \{1, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\beta_{k_1,p_1} = \varepsilon_1 \beta_{k_2,p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_2,p_2} = \varepsilon_2 \beta_{k_1,p_1}. \quad (3)$$

By statements (6) and (8) of Theorem 4 we have that equalities (3) hold when  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \langle \alpha \rangle \cup \langle \beta \rangle$ . Then the proof of the requested statement is similar to Proposition 3.3(4) of [8].

The implications ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is trivial.

(5) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\gamma_{n_1} \mathcal{L} \gamma_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \varepsilon_1 \gamma_{n_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n_2} = \varepsilon_2 \gamma_{n_1}.$$

By Theorem 3(3) we have that  $\varepsilon_1 \neq \delta_{n'}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \neq \delta_{n''}$  for any positive integers  $n'$  and  $n''$ . Also, by Theorem 4(3),  $\varepsilon_1 \neq \beta_{k',p'}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \neq \beta_{k'',p''}$  for any positive integers  $k' \geq 2$  and  $k'' \geq 2$ ,  $p' \in \{1, \dots, k' - 1\}$ , and  $p'' \in \{1, \dots, k'' - 1\}$ .

Suppose that  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k,p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ . Then by Theorem 4(1) the equality  $\gamma_{n_1} = \alpha_{k,p} \gamma_{n_2}$  holds in the case when  $kn_2 = 1$  or  $p = 0$ .

If  $kn_2 = 1$  then  $k = n_2 = 1$  which implies that  $p = 0$ , and hence

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \alpha_{1,0} \gamma_{n_2} = \gamma_{n_2}.$$

If  $p = 0$  then

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \alpha_{k,0} \gamma_{n_2} = \gamma_{kn_2}.$$

In this case we have that either  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k',p'}$  or  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n'}$ . If  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k',p'}$  then by Theorem 4(1),

$$\gamma_{n_2} = \alpha_{k',p'} \gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{k'n_1}$$

and  $k'n_1 = 1$  or  $p' = 0$ . If  $k'n_1 = 1$  then  $k' = n_1 = 1$  and  $p' = 0$ . Then

$$\gamma_{n_2} = \alpha_{1,0} \gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_1}.$$

If  $p' = 0$  then we have that

$$\gamma_{n_2} = \alpha_{k',0} \gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{k'n_1}.$$

Thus, in the case when  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k,p}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k',p'}$  by the definition of the element  $\gamma_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that

$$n_2 = k'n_1 = k'kn_2,$$

which implies that  $k' = k = 1$ , and hence  $n_1 = n_2$ .

In the case when  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k,p}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n'}$  we have that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \alpha_{k,0} \gamma_{n_2} = \alpha_{k,0} \gamma_{n'} \gamma_{n_1} = \alpha_{k,0} \gamma_{n'n_1} = \gamma_{kn'n_1},$$

which implies that  $kn' = 1$ , because  $k$ ,  $n'$  and  $n_1$  are positive integers, and hence  $k = n' = 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{n_2} = \gamma_{n'} \gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_1}.$$

In the case when  $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_{n'}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k,p}$  by the similar way as in the above case we obtain that  $\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2}$ .

In the case when  $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_{n'_1}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n'_2}$  by Theorem 3(1) we get that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n'_1} \gamma_{n_2} = \gamma_{n'_1 n_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n_2} = \gamma_{n'_2} \gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n'_2 n_1}.$$

Then by the definition of the element  $\gamma_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that

$$n_1 = n'_1 n_2 = n'_1 n'_2 n_1.$$

Since  $n_1, n_2, n'_1$ , and  $n'_2$  are positive integers,  $n'_1 n'_2 = 1$ , and hence  $n'_1 = n'_2 = 1$ . This implies that  $n_1 = n_2$ .

The implications  $(\Leftarrow)$  is trivial.

(6)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\gamma_{n_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \varepsilon_1 \delta_{n_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_2} = \varepsilon_2 \gamma_{n_1}.$$

The equality  $\gamma_{n_1} = \varepsilon_1 \delta_{n_2}$  and Theorems 3 and 4 imply that  $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_m$  for some positive integer  $m$ . By Theorem 3(2) we have that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_m \delta_{n_2} = \gamma_{mn_2},$$

and hence by the definition of the element  $\gamma_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that  $n_1 = mn_2$ . Also, the equality  $\delta_{n_2} = \varepsilon_2 \gamma_{n_1}$  and Theorems 3 and 4 imply that  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{m'}$  for some positive integer  $m'$ . By Theorem 3(3) we have that

$$\delta_{n_2} = \delta_{m'} \gamma_{n_1} = \delta_{m' n_1},$$

and hence by the definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that  $n_2 = m' n_1$ . Then

$$n_1 = mn_2 = mm' n_1$$

for some positive integers  $m$  and  $m'$ . This implies that  $mm' = 1$ , and hence  $m = m' = 1$ . Thus, we obtain that  $n_1 = n_2$ .

The implications  $(\Leftarrow)$  is trivial.

(7)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\delta_{n_1} \mathcal{L} \delta_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \varepsilon_1 \delta_{n_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_2} = \varepsilon_2 \delta_{n_1}.$$

Then by Theorems 3 and 4 for the element  $\varepsilon_1$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>3</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k,p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>3</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k,p}$  for some positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ ;
- (3<sub>3</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_k$  for some positive integer  $k$ ,

and for the element  $\varepsilon_2$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>4</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k',p'}$  for some positive integer  $k'$  and  $p' \in \{0, \dots, k'-1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>4</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \beta_{k',p'}$  for some positive integer  $k' \geq 2$  and  $p' \in \{1, \dots, k'-1\}$ ;
- (3<sub>4</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{k'}$  for some positive integer  $k'$ .

Suppose case (1<sub>3</sub>) holds. By Theorems 4(2) we have that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \alpha_{k,p} \delta_{n_2} = \delta_{kn_2}$$

in the case when  $kn_2 = 1$  or  $p = k-1$ . If  $kn_2 = 1$  then by the definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we have that  $n_1 = n_2 = k = 1$  and  $p = 0$ , and hence the requested statement holds.

Suppose that  $p = k-1$  for some positive integer  $k \geq 2$ . If case (1<sub>4</sub>) holds, i.e.,

$$\delta_{n_2} = \alpha_{k',p'} \delta_{n_1} = \delta_{k' n_1},$$

then  $k'n_1 \neq 1$ , otherwise in this case we have  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ , which implies that  $k = 1$ . Hence we suppose that  $p' = k' - 1$  for some positive integer  $k' \geq 2$ . Then we get that  $\delta_{n_2} = \delta_{k'n_1}$  and  $\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{kn_2}$ , and by the definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we obtain that  $n_2 = k'n_1 = k'kn_2$ , and hence  $k'k = 1$  which contradicts our assumptions that  $k \geq 2$  and  $k' \geq 2$ .

Next, suppose that  $k \geq 2$  and case (2<sub>4</sub>) holds, i.e.,

$$\delta_{n_2} = \beta_{k',p'}\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{k'n_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_1} = \delta_{kn_2}.$$

Then similar as in previous case we get that  $n_2 = k'n_1 = k'kn_2$ . This and the definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  contradict the condition  $k' \geq 2$ .

Suppose that  $k \geq 2$  and case (3<sub>4</sub>) holds. By Theorem 3(4) we have that

$$\delta_{n_2} = \delta_{k'}\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{k'n_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_1} = \delta_{kn_2},$$

and hence by the definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that  $n_2 = k'n_1 = k'kn_2$ . This implies that  $k' = k = 1$ , and hence  $n_1 = n_2$ .

Suppose that case (2<sub>3</sub>) holds. If in addition case (1<sub>4</sub>) holds then the proof of the equality  $n_1 = n_2$  is similar to the case when conditions (1<sub>3</sub>) and (2<sub>4</sub>) hold together.

Suppose that cases (2<sub>3</sub>) and (2<sub>4</sub>) hold together, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k,p}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \beta_{k',p'}$  for some positive integers  $k' \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 2$ ,  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $p' \in \{1, \dots, k'-1\}$ . Theorem 4(4) implies that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \beta_{k,p}\delta_{n_2} = \delta_{kn_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_2} = \beta_{k',p'}\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{k'n_1},$$

and here  $k \geq 2$  and  $k' \geq 2$ . Then the definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  implies that

$$n_1 = kn_2 = kk'n_1,$$

and hence  $kk' = 1$ , which contradicts any of conditions  $k \geq 2$  and  $k' \geq 2$ . The obtained contradiction implies that (2<sub>3</sub>) and (2<sub>4</sub>) do not hold together.

Suppose that cases (2<sub>3</sub>) and (3<sub>4</sub>) hold together, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k,p}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{k'}$  for some positive integers  $k'$  and  $k \geq 2$ , and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ . Then Theorem 4(4) and Theorem 3(4) imply that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \beta_{k,p}\delta_{n_2} = \delta_{kn_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_2} = \delta_{k'}\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{k'n_1},$$

and here  $k \geq 2$ . The definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  implies that

$$n_1 = kn_2 = kk'n_1,$$

and hence  $kk' = 1$ , which contradicts any of conditions  $k \geq 2$ . The obtained contradiction implies that (2<sub>3</sub>) and (3<sub>4</sub>) do not hold together.

Now, we suppose that case (3<sub>3</sub>) holds. If case (1<sub>4</sub>) holds as well, then the proof is similar to the case when (1<sub>3</sub>) and (3<sub>4</sub>) hold. Also, if cases (3<sub>3</sub>) and (2<sub>4</sub>) hold together, then the proof is similar to the case when (2<sub>3</sub>) and (3<sub>4</sub>) hold.

Suppose that cases (3<sub>3</sub>) and (3<sub>4</sub>) hold together, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_k$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{k'}$  for some positive integers  $k$  and  $k'$ . Theorem 3(4) imply that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \delta_k\delta_{n_2} = \delta_{kn_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_2} = \delta_{k'}\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{k'n_1}.$$

The definition of the element  $\delta_n$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  implies that

$$n_1 = kn_2 = kk'n_1,$$

and hence  $k = k' = 1$ , which implies that  $n_1 = n_2$ .

The proof in other cases are similar to the above considered cases.

The implications ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is trivial.

(8) By Theorems 3 and 4 there exists no  $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \gamma_{n_1} \varepsilon_1$ , and hence the requested statement holds.

(9) By Theorems 3 and 4 there exists no  $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \delta_{n_1} \varepsilon_1$ , and hence the requested statement holds.

(10) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{R} \beta_{k_2, p_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $k_1 \geq 2$ ,  $k_2 \geq 2$ ,  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$ , and  $p_2 \in \{1, \dots, k_2 - 1\}$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2} \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \varepsilon_2.$$

By Theorems 1(6) and 4(3)–(4) for the element  $\varepsilon_1$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>5</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>5</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ ;
- (3<sub>5</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ ,

and for the element  $\varepsilon_2$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>6</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k', p'}$  for some positive integer  $k'$  and  $p' \in \{0, \dots, k' - 1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>6</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n'}$  for some positive integer  $n'$ ;
- (3<sub>6</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{n'}$  for some positive integer  $n'$ .

Suppose that cases (1<sub>5</sub>) and (1<sub>6</sub>) hold together. By Theorem 1(6) we have that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2} \alpha_{k, p} = \beta_{k_2 k, k p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \alpha_{k', p'} = \beta_{k_1 k', k' p_1}. \quad (4)$$

By the definition of the element  $\beta_{s, t}$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we obtain that

$$k_1 = k_2 k = k_1 k' k \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 = k p_2 = k k' p_1.$$

Hence we have that  $k = k' = 1$ , because  $k$  and  $k'$  are positive integers. This implies that  $k_1 = k_2$  and  $p_1 = p_2$ .

If cases (1<sub>5</sub>) and (2<sub>6</sub>) hold together then by Theorem 4(3) we get that

$$\beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \gamma_{n'} = \beta_{k_1 n', p_1 n'}.$$

The above equalities, the first equality of (4), and the definition of the element  $\beta_{s, t}$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  imply that

$$k_2 = k_1 n' = k_2 k n',$$

and hence  $k = n' = 1$ , because  $k$  and  $n'$  are positive integers. This implies that  $p_2 = p_1$ .

If cases (1<sub>5</sub>) and (3<sub>6</sub>) hold together then by Theorem 4(4) we get that

$$\beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \delta_{n'} = \beta_{k_1 n', (p_1 + 1) n'}.$$

in the case when  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 2\}$ . Then the above equalities, the first equality of (4), and the definition of the element  $\beta_{s, t}$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  imply that

$$k_2 = k_1 n' = k_2 k n',$$

and hence  $k = n' = 1$ , because  $k$  and  $n'$  are positive integers. Hence we get that

$$p_1 = k p_2 = k(p_1 + 1)n' = p_1 + 1,$$

a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that cases (1<sub>5</sub>) and (3<sub>6</sub>) do not hold together.

Suppose that cases (2<sub>5</sub>) and (2<sub>6</sub>) hold together. By Theorem 4(3) we have that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_n = \beta_{k_2 n, p_2 n} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \gamma_{n'} = \beta_{k_1 n', p_1 n'}.$$

The definition of the element  $\beta_{s, t}$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  imply that

$$k_1 = k_2 n = k_1 n' n,$$

and hence  $n = n' = 1$ , because  $n$  and  $n'$  are positive integers. This implies that  $k_1 = k_2$  and  $p_1 = p_2$ .

Suppose that cases (2<sub>5</sub>) and (3<sub>6</sub>) hold together. By statements (3) and (4) of Theorem 4 we have that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_n = \beta_{k_2 n, p_2 n} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \delta_{n'} = \beta_{k_1 n', (p_1+1)n'},$$

and hence by the definition of the element  $\beta_{s, t}$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that

$$k_1 = k_2 n = k_1 n' n \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 = p_2 n = (p_1 + 1) n' n.$$

Since  $n$  and  $n'$  are positive integers,  $n = n' = 1$ , and hence  $p_1 = p_1 + 1$ , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that cases (2<sub>5</sub>) and (3<sub>6</sub>) do not hold together.

Suppose that cases (3<sub>5</sub>) and (3<sub>6</sub>) hold together. By Theorem 4(4) we have that

$$\beta_{k_1, p_1} = \beta_{k_2, p_2} \delta_n = \beta_{k_2 n, (p_2+1)n} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_2, p_2} = \beta_{k_1, p_1} \delta_{n'} = \beta_{k_1 n', (p_1+1)n'},$$

and hence by the definition of the element  $\beta_{s, t}$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  we get that

$$k_1 = k_2 n = k_1 n' n \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 = (p_2 + 1) n = ((p_1 + 1) n' + 1) n.$$

Since  $n$  and  $n'$  are positive integers,  $n = n' = 1$ , and hence  $p_1 = p_1 + 2$ , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that cases (3<sub>5</sub>) and (3<sub>6</sub>) do not hold together.

The proofs in other cases are similar to the above considered cases.

The implication ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is trivial.

(11) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\gamma_{n_1} \mathcal{R} \gamma_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2} \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n_2} = \gamma_{n_1} \varepsilon_2.$$

By Theorems 3 and 4 for the element  $\varepsilon_1$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>7</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>7</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k, p}$  for some positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ ;
- (3<sub>7</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ ;
- (4<sub>7</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ ,

and for the element  $\varepsilon_2$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>8</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k', p'}$  for some positive integer  $k'$  and  $p' \in \{0, \dots, k' - 1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>8</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \beta_{k', p'}$  for some positive integer  $k' \geq 2$  and  $p' \in \{1, \dots, k' - 1\}$ ;
- (3<sub>8</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n'}$  for some positive integer  $n'$ ;
- (4<sub>8</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{n'}$  for some positive integer  $n'$ .

If  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  then by the corresponding statements of Theorem 4 we have that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2}\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_{n_2k} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n_2} = \beta_{n_1}\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n_1k'}.$$

The definition of the element  $\gamma_m$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  implies that  $n_1 = n_2k = n_1kk'$ , and hence  $k = k' = 1$ , because  $k$  and  $k'$  are positive integers. This implies that  $n_1 = n_2$ .

If  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $j \in \{3, 4\}$  then by the corresponding statements of Theorems 3 and 4 we have that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2}\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_{n_2k} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n_2} = \beta_{n_1}\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n_1n'}.$$

Then the definition of the element  $\gamma_m$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  implies that  $n_1 = n_2k = n_1kn'$ , and hence  $k = n' = 1$ , because  $k$  and  $n'$  are positive integers. This implies that  $n_1 = n_2$ .

In the case of  $i \in \{3, 4\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  the proof is similar to the above case.

If  $i, j \in \{3, 4\}$  then by the corresponding statements of Theorem 3 we have that

$$\gamma_{n_1} = \gamma_{n_2}\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_{n_2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n_2} = \beta_{n_1}\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n_1n'}.$$

Then the definition of the element  $\gamma_m$  of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  implies that  $n_1 = n_2n = n_1nn'$ , and hence  $n = n' = 1$ , because  $n$  and  $n'$  are positive integers. This implies that  $n_1 = n_2$ .

Implications ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is trivial.

(12) By Theorems 3 and 4 there exists no  $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\gamma_n = \delta_m\varepsilon_1$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence the requested statement holds.

(13) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\delta_{n_1}\mathcal{R}\delta_{n_2}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for some positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  such that

$$\delta_{n_1} = \delta_{n_2}\varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{n_2} = \delta_{n_1}\varepsilon_2.$$

By Theorems 3 and 4 for the element  $\varepsilon_1$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>9</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{k,p}$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>9</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \beta_{k,p}$  for some positive integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ ;
- (3<sub>9</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ ;
- (4<sub>9</sub>)  $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ ,

and for the element  $\varepsilon_2$  one of the following cases holds

- (1<sub>10</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{k',p'}$  for some positive integer  $k'$  and  $p' \in \{0, \dots, k'-1\}$ ;
- (2<sub>10</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \beta_{k',p'}$  for some positive integer  $k' \geq 2$  and  $p' \in \{1, \dots, k'-1\}$ ;
- (3<sub>10</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \gamma_{n'}$  for some positive integer  $n'$ ;
- (4<sub>10</sub>)  $\varepsilon_2 = \delta_{n'}$  for some positive integer  $n'$ .

Next, the proof of the statement  $n_1 = n_2$  word by word repeats the proof of statement (11).

(14) Suppose to the contrary that there exist positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1-1\}$  such that the relation  $\beta_{k_1,p_1}\mathcal{J}\gamma_{n_1}$  holds in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ . Then by the definition of the relation  $\mathcal{J}$  there exist  $\varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{22} \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})^1$  such that  $\beta_{k_1,p_1} = \varepsilon_{11}\gamma_{n_1}\varepsilon_{12}$  and  $\gamma_{n_1} = \varepsilon_{21}\beta_{k_1,p_1}\varepsilon_{22}$ .

By Theorem 1(4) we have that  $\langle \alpha \rangle \cdot \langle \beta \rangle \cup \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \langle \alpha \rangle \subseteq \langle \beta \rangle$ , and hence by Theorems 4 and 1 we obtain that  $\varepsilon_{21} \in \langle \gamma \rangle$ . Also, Theorem 3 implies that  $\varepsilon_{11} \notin \langle \gamma \rangle \cup \langle \delta \rangle$ . Then we have that

$$\beta_{k_1,p_1} = \varepsilon_{11}\gamma_{n_1}\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon_{11}\gamma_{k_4}\beta_{k_1,p_1}\varepsilon_{22}\varepsilon_{12}.$$



Later we consider the following cases.

(1<sub>14</sub>) If  $\varepsilon_{11} = \alpha_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12} = \alpha_{k_3, p_3}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{22} = \alpha_{k_5, p_5}$ , then by Theorems 1 and 4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{k_1, p_1} &= \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4} \beta_{k_1, p_1} \alpha_{k_5, p_5} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4 k_1} \alpha_{k_5, p_5} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4 k_1 k_5} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, & \text{if } k_3 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 = 1 \text{ or } p = 0; \\ \beta_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3, p_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, & \text{if } k_3 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 1, \dots, k_3 - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The first case is impossible. In the second case we have that  $k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 = k_1$  which implies that  $k_2 = 1$ . Hence we have that  $p_2 = 0$ , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that case (1<sub>14</sub>) does not hold.

(2<sub>14</sub>) If  $\varepsilon_{11} = \alpha_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12} = \alpha_{k_3, p_3}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{22} \in \{\beta_{k_5, p_5}, \gamma_{k_5}, \delta_{k_5}\}$ , then by Theorems 1 and 4 and by the similar calculations as in case (1<sub>14</sub>) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{k_1, p_1} &= \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4} \beta_{k_1, p_1} \varepsilon_{22} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, & \text{if } k_3 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 = 1 \text{ or } p = 0; \\ \beta_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3, p_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, & \text{if } k_3 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 1, \dots, k_3 - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case we obtain that case (2<sub>14</sub>) does not hold.

(3<sub>14</sub>) If  $\varepsilon_{11} = \alpha_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12} \in \{\beta_{k_3, p_3}, \gamma_{k_3}, \delta_{k_3}\}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{22} \in \{\alpha_{k_5, p_5}, \beta_{k_5, p_5}, \gamma_{k_5}, \delta_{k_5}\}$ , then by Theorems 1 and 4 and by the similar calculations as in case (1<sub>14</sub>) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{k_1, p_1} &= \alpha_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4} \beta_{k_1, p_1} \varepsilon_{22} \varepsilon_{12} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, & \text{if } k_3 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 = 1 \text{ or } p = 0; \\ \beta_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3, p_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, & \text{if } k_3 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 \neq 1 \text{ and } p = 1, \dots, k_3 - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As in case (1<sub>14</sub>) we obtain that case (3<sub>14</sub>) does not hold.

(4<sub>14</sub>) If  $\varepsilon_{11} = \beta_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12} = \alpha_{k_3, p_3}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{22} = \alpha_{k_5, p_5}$ , then by Theorems 1, 3, and 4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{k_1, p_1} &= \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4} \beta_{k_1, p_1} \alpha_{k_5, p_5} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4 k_1} \alpha_{k_5, p_5} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4 k_1 k_5} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3} = \\ &= \beta_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3, p_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3 = k_1$ . Hence  $k_2 = 1$  which contradicts the definition of the element  $\beta_{k_2, p_2}$ . The obtained contradiction implies that case (4<sub>14</sub>) does not hold.

(5<sub>14</sub>) If  $\varepsilon_{11} = \beta_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12} = \alpha_{k_3, p_3}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{22} \in \{\beta_{k_5, p_5}, \gamma_{k_5}, \delta_{k_5}\}$ , then by Theorems 1, 3, and 4 and by the similar calculations as in case (4<sub>14</sub>) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{k_1, p_1} &= \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4} \beta_{k_1, p_1} \varepsilon_{22} \alpha_{k_3, p_3} = \\ &= \beta_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3, p_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case we obtain that case (5<sub>14</sub>) does not hold.

(6<sub>14</sub>) If  $\varepsilon_{11} = \beta_{k_2, p_2}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{12} \in \{\beta_{k_3, p_3}, \gamma_{k_3}, \delta_{k_3}\}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{22} \in \{\alpha_{k_5, p_5}, \beta_{k_5, p_5}, \gamma_{k_5}, \delta_{k_5}\}$ , then by Theorems 1, 3, and 4 and by the similar calculations as in case (4<sub>14</sub>) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{k_1, p_1} &= \beta_{k_2, p_2} \gamma_{k_4} \beta_{k_1, p_1} \varepsilon_{22} \varepsilon_{12} = \\ &= \beta_{k_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3, p_2 k_4 k_1 k_5 k_3}.\end{aligned}$$

As in case (4<sub>14</sub>) we obtain that case (6<sub>14</sub>) does not hold.

(15) Suppose to the contrary that there exist positive integers  $n_1$  and  $k_1 \geq 2$ , and  $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, k_1 - 1\}$  such that the relation  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{J} \delta_{n_1}$  holds in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ . By Theorem 3(8) of [9],  $\delta_{n_1} \mathcal{D} \gamma_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ . This implies that  $\delta_{n_1} \mathcal{D} \gamma_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ . Since  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ , we obtain that  $\beta_{k_1, p_1} \mathcal{J} \gamma_{n_1}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ , which contradicts statement (14).  $\square$

We summarise the descriptions of equivalent classes of Green's relations of the semi-group  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1]\}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha_{k,p}} = \mathbf{R}_{\alpha_{k,p}} = \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_{k,p}} = \mathbf{D}_{\alpha_{k,p}} = \mathbf{J}_{\alpha_{k,p}} = \{\alpha_{k,p}\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integer  $k$  and  $p \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{L}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_m} = \mathbf{L}_{\delta_m} = \{\gamma_m, \delta_m\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integers  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m$ , and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ .
- (3)  $\mathbf{R}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{\gamma_n} = \{\gamma_n\}$ , and  $\mathbf{R}_{\delta_n} = \{\delta_n\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integers  $n$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ .
- (4) The relation  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  coincides with the equality relation.
- (5)  $\mathbf{D}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\gamma_m} = \mathbf{D}_{\delta_m} = \{\gamma_m, \delta_m\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integers  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m$ , and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ .
- (6)  $\mathbf{J}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{\gamma_m} = \mathbf{J}_{\delta_m} = \{\gamma_m, \delta_m\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integers  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m$ , and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ .

*Proof.* Statement (1) follows from Proposition 2(1) and the inclusion relations between Green's relations.

(2) The equality  $\mathbf{L}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$  follows from statements (2)–(4) of Proposition 2 and statement (1). Also, statements (2), (3) and (5)–(7) of Proposition 2 and statement (1) imply that  $\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_m} = \mathbf{L}_{\delta_m} = \{\gamma_m, \delta_m\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integers  $k \geq 2$ ,  $m$ , and  $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ .

(3) The equality  $\mathbf{R}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$  follows from statements (8)–(10) of Proposition 2 and statement (1). Also, statements (11) and (12) of Proposition 2 and statement (1) imply the equality  $\mathbf{R}_{\gamma_n} = \{\gamma_n\}$ . The equality  $\mathbf{R}_{\delta_n} = \{\delta_n\}$  follows from statements (12) and (13) of Proposition 2 and statement (1).

(4) follows from statements (2) and (3) and the definition of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(5) follows from statements (2) and (3) and the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

(6) The equality  $\mathbf{J}_{\beta_{k,p}} = \{\beta_{k,p}\}$  follows from statements (14) and (15) of Proposition 2 and statement (1).

Next we shall prove that  $\mathbf{J}_{\gamma_m} = \mathbf{J}_{\delta_m} = \{\gamma_m, \delta_m\}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for any positive integer  $m$ , which with the above statements imply that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ . We observe

that by statement (5) it is complete to show that  $\gamma_n \mathcal{J} \gamma_m$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if  $n = m$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $\gamma_n \mathcal{J} \gamma_m$  in  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  for distinct  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $n < m$ . Then by the definition of the relation  $\mathcal{J}$  there exist  $\varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{22} \in \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})^1$  such that  $\gamma_n = \varepsilon_{11}\gamma_m\varepsilon_{12}$  and  $\gamma_m = \varepsilon_{21}\gamma_n\varepsilon_{22}$ . By Theorem 3,  $\varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{21} \notin \langle \delta \rangle$ . By Theorem 4 we get that  $\varepsilon_{11} \notin \langle \alpha \rangle \cup \langle \beta \rangle$ , and hence  $\varepsilon_{11} = \gamma_k$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Then by Theorems 3 and 4 the equality  $\gamma_n = \varepsilon_{11}\gamma_m\varepsilon_{12} = \gamma_k\gamma_m\varepsilon_{12}$  implies that  $n \geq m$ , which contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* Theorem 2 of [8], Theorem 3 of [9] and Theorem 5 imply that for an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  which consists of two nonempty inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$  the following statements holds:

- (1) Green's relations of elements  $\alpha_{k_1, p_1}$  and  $\beta_{k_2, p_2}$  in the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  coincide with the corresponding their Green's relations in the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  of all monoid endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- (2) Green's relations of elements  $\gamma_{n_1}$  and  $\delta_{n_2}$  in the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}_0^*(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  of all non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  coincide with the corresponding their Green's relations in the semigroup  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  of all monoid endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961.
2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
3. O. Gutik and O. Lysetska, *On the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  which is generated by the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of atomic subsets of  $\omega$* , Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021), 34–50. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.92.034-050
4. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On some generalization of the bicyclic monoid*, Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 5–19 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.005-019
5. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On group congruences on the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its homomorphic retracts in the case when the family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of inductive non-empty subsets of  $\omega$* , Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **91** (2021), 5–27 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.91.005-027
6. O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On automorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  in the case when the family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of nonempty inductive subsets of  $\omega$* , Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **93** (2022), 54–65 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.93.054-065
7. O. Gutik and O. Popadiuk, *On the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$  which is generated by the family  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of finite bounded intervals of  $\omega$* , Carpathian Math. Publ. **15** (2023), no. 2, 331–355. DOI: 10.15330/cmp.15.2.331-355
8. O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  with the two-elements family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$* , Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **94** (2022), 32–55. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.94.032-055

9. O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  with the two-element family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$* , Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **95** (2023), 14–27. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2023.95.014-027
10. O. Gutik, O. Prokhorenkova, and D. Sekh, *On endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup and the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021), 5–16 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.92.005-016
11. M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998. DOI: 10.1142/3645
12. O. Lysetska, *On feebly compact topologies on the semigroup  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^1}$* , Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 48–56. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.048-056
13. M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
14. O. Popadiuk, *On endomorphisms of the inverse semigroup of convex order isomorphisms of a bounded rank which are generated by Rees congruences*, Visnyk L'viv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **93** (2022), 34–41. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.93.034-041
15. V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian).

*Стаття: надійшла до редколегії 15.05.2023*

*доопрацьована 22.12.2023*

*прийнята до друку 10.01.2024*

**ПРО НАПІВГРУПУ УСІХ МОНОЇДАЛЬНИХ  
ЕНДОМОРФІЗМІВ НАПІВГРУПИ  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  З ДВОЕЛЕМЕНТНОЮ  
СІМ'ЄЮ  $\mathcal{F}$  ІНДУКТИВНИХ НЕПОРОЖНІХ ПІДМНОЖИН  
 $\mathcal{U}_\omega$**

**Олег ГУТІК, Інна ПОЗДНЯКОВА**

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,  
вул. Університетська 1, 79000, м. Львів  
e-mails: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, pozdnyakova.inna@gmail.com*

Вивчено структуру напівгрупи  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$  усіх моноїдальних ендоморфізмів напівгрупи  $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ , де  $\omega$ -замкнена сім'я  $\mathcal{F}$  складається з двох непорожніх індуктивних підмножин у  $\omega$ . Описано елементи напівгрупи  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ , напівгрупову операцію та відношення Гріна на напівгрупі  $\mathbf{End}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ .

*Ключові слова:* біциклічний моноїд, інверсна напівгрупа, біциклічне розширення, ендоморфізм, ідеал, відношення Гріна.